Complete factorial designs

Session 5

MATH 80667A: Experimental Design and Statistical Methods for Quantitative Research in Management HEC Montréal

Outline

Factorial designs and interactions

Model formulation

Factorial designs and interactions

Complete factorial designs?

Factorial design **study with multiple factors (subgroups)**

Complete **Gather observations for every subgroup**

Motivating example

Response**: retention of information two hours after reading a story**

> Population**: children aged four**

experimental factor 1**: ending (happy or sad)**

experimental factor 2**: complexity (easy, average or hard).**

Setup of design

Factors are crossed

Efficiency of factorial design

Cast problem as a series of one-way ANOVA vs simultaneous estimation

Factorial designs requires fewer overall observations

Can study interactions

Interaction

Definition**: when the effect of one factor depends on the levels of another factor.**

Effect together sum of individual effects ≠

Interaction or profile plot

Graphical display: plot sample mean per category

with uncertainty measure (1 std. error for mean confidence interval, etc.)

Interaction: lines are not parallel

No interaction: parallel lines

Interaction plot for 2 by 2 design

mean response

factor $B \rightarrow b1 \rightarrow b2$

Model formulation

Formulation of the two-way ANOVA

Two factors: ${\scriptstyle A}$ (complexity) and ${\scriptstyle B}$ (ending) with ${\scriptstyle n_a}$ and ${\scriptstyle n_b}$ levels. Write the average response $_{Y_{ijr}}$ of the $_r$ th measurement in group $_{(a_i,\,b_j)}$ as

> Y_{ijr} response $\mu_{i,j}$ subgroup mean $+$ ε_{ijr} error term

where

- $_{Y_{ijr}}$ is the $_r$ th replicate for $_i$ th level of factor $_A$ and $_j$ th level of factor $_B$
- $_{\varepsilon_{ijr}}$ are independent error terms with mean zero and variance $_{\sigma^2}$.

One average for each subgroup

Row, column and overall average

Mean of $_{A_i}$ (average of row $_i$):

$$
\mu_{i.}=\frac{\mu_{i1}+\cdots+\mu_{in_b}}{n_b}
$$

Mean of $_{B_j}$ (average of column $_j$):

$$
\mu_{.j}=\frac{\mu_{1j}+\cdots+\mu_{n_a j}}{n_a}
$$

• Overall average (overall all rows and columns):

$$
\mu=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_a}\sum_{j=1}^{n_b}\mu_{ij}}{n_an_b}
$$

Vocabulary of effects

Definitions

- **· simple effects:** difference between levels of one in a fixed combination of others (change in difficulty for happy ending)
- **main effects**: differences relative to average for each condition of a factor (happy vs sad ending)
- **interaction effects:** when simple effects differ depending on levels of another factor

What it means relative to the table

- **simple effects** are comparisons between cell averages within a given row or column
- **main effects** are comparisons between row or column averages
- **· interaction effects** are difference relative to the row or column average

Marginal effects

Simple effects

Contrasts

Suppose the order of the coefficients is factor ${\scriptstyle \mathcal{A}}$ (complexity, 3 levels, complicated/average/easy) and factor $_B$ (ending, 2 levels, happy/sad).

Hypothesis tests for main effects

Generally, need to compare multiple effects at once

Main effect of factor A

 $\mathscr{H}_{\!0}\!\!$: $_{\mu_1\!\!1\ldots\!\!1\!\!1}$ $_{\mu_{n_a\!\!1\ldots\!\!1}}$ vs $\mathscr{H}_{\!a}\!\!$: at least two marginal means of $_A$ are different

Main effect of factor B

 \mathscr{H}_{0} : $\mu_{.1}$ = … = $\mu_{.n_b}$ vs \mathscr{H}_{a} : at least two marginal means of $_B$ are different.

Equivalent formulation of the two-way ANOVA

Write the model for a response variable $_Y$ in terms of two factors ${}_{a_i},$ ${}_{b_j}.$

 $Y_{ijr} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + (\alpha \beta)_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijr}$

where

 $\alpha_i = \mu_{i.} - \mu$

mean of level $_{a_i}$ minus overall mean.

- mean of level $_{b_j}$ minus overall mean. \bullet $\beta_j = \mu_{.j} - \mu$
- the interaction term for ${}_{a_i}$ and ${}_{b_j}$. $(\alpha\beta)_{ij}=\mu_{ij}-\mu_{i.}-\mu_{.j}+\mu_{.j}$

One average for each subgroup

More parameters than data cells!

The model in terms of $_{\alpha}$, $_{\beta}$ and $_{(\alpha\beta)}$ is overparametrized.

Sum-to-zero parametrization

Too many parameters!

Impose sum to zero constraints

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n_a}\alpha_i=0,\quad \sum_{j=1}^{n_b}\beta_j=0,\quad \sum_{j=1}^{n_b}(\alpha\beta)_{ij}=0,\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n_a}(\alpha\beta)_{ij}=0.
$$

which imposes $1 + n_a + n_b$ constraints.

Why use the sum to zero parametrization?

Testing for main effect of $_{{\scriptscriptstyle A}}$:

$$
\mathscr{H}_0: \alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_{n_a} = 0
$$

Testing for main effect of ${}_{B}\!\!:\,$

$$
\mathscr{H}_0: \beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_{n_b} = 0
$$

Testing for interaction between ${\scriptscriptstyle A}$ and ${\scriptscriptstyle B}$:

$$
\mathscr{H}_0: (\alpha\beta)_{11}=\cdots=(\alpha\beta)_{n_an_b}=0
$$

In all cases, alternative is that at least two coefficients are different.

Seeking balance

Balanced sample **(equal nb of obs per group)**

With n_r replications per subgroup, **total sample size is** $n = n_a n_b n_r$.

Why balanced design?

With equal variance, this is the optimal allocation of treatment unit.

Estimated means for main and total effects correspond to marginal averages.

equiweighting

Unambiguous decomposition of effects of $_A$, $_B$ and interaction.

Rewriting observations

 $(y_{ijr}-\widehat{\mu}) \qquad = \qquad \quad (\widehat{\mu}_{i.}-\widehat{\mu})$ $\cosh y$ vs grand mean (total) $\qquad \text{row mean vs grand mean}(A)$ + $(\widehat{\mu}_{.j} - \widehat{\mu})$ col mean vs grand mean (B) + $(\widehat{\mu}_{ij} - \widehat{\mu}_{i.} - \widehat{\mu}_{.j} + \widehat{\mu})$ cell mean vs additive effect (AB) $+\qquad (y_{ijr}-\widehat{\mu }_{ij})$ obs vs cell mean (resid)

Decomposing variability

Constructing statistics as before by decomposing variability into blocks.

We can square both sides and sum over all observations.

With balanced design, all cross terms cancel, leaving us with the **sum of square** decomposition

 $SS_{total} = SS_A + SS_B + SS_{AB} + SS_{resid}$

Sum of square decomposition

The sum of square decomposition

 $SS_{total} = SS_A + SS_B + SS_{AB} + SS_{resid}$

is an estimator of the population variance decomposition

$$
\sigma_{\rm total}^2 = \sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 + \sigma_{AB}^2 + \sigma_{\rm resid}^2.
$$

where $\sigma_A^2 = n_a^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_a} \alpha_i^2$, $\sigma_{AB}^2 = (n_a n_b)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_a} \sum_{j=1}^{n_b} (\alpha \beta)_{ij}^2$, etc. $_{j=1}^{n_b}(\alpha\beta)_{ij}^2$ ij

Take ratio of variability (effect relative to residual) and standardize numerator and denominator to build an $_F$ statistic.

Analysis of variance table

Read the table backward (starting with the interaction).

• If there is a significant interaction, the main effects are **not** of interest and potentially misleading.

Intuition behind degrees of freedom

Terms with \times are fully determined by row/column/total averages

Multiplicity correction

With equal sample size and equal variance, usual recipes for ANOVA hold. Correction depends on the effect: e.g., for factor $_A$, the critical values are

- Bonferroni: $1 \alpha/(2m)$ quantile of $st(n n_a n_b)$
- Tukey: Studentized range (qtukey)
	- level $1 \alpha/2$, n_a groups, $n n_a n_b$ degrees of freedom.
- Scheffé: critical value is $\{ (n_a 1)\mathfrak{f}_{1-\alpha} \}^{1/2}$ $f_{1-\alpha}$ is $1-\alpha$ quantile of $F(\nu_1 = n_a - 1, \nu_2 = n - n_a n_b)$.

Software implementations available in emmeans in **R**.

Numerical example